

1 LISA A. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 7491
3 **THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA**
4 **WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES**
5 550 E. Charleston Blvd.
6 Las Vegas, NV 89104
(702) 222-0007 (T) | (702) 222-0001 (F)
Lisa@VeldLaw.com

7 Attorneys for Benjamin Cottman
8

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10

11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No.: 2:10-cr-00417 KJD-VCF

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

16 BENJAMIN COTTMAN,

17 **STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
HEARING ON REVOCATION OF
SUPERVISED RELEASE**

Defendant.

(FOURTEENTH REQUEST)

19 COME NOW the Defendant, Benjamin Cottman, by and through his counsel,
20 Lisa Rasmussen, and the United States of America, by and through its counsel,
21 Assistant United States Attorney Allison Reese, and hereby stipulate as follows:

22 1. Mr. Cottman's hearing on the revocation of his supervised release is
23 presently scheduled to be heard by the district court on June 7, 2022.

24 2. Mr. Cottman was scheduled to be sentenced in his other case, , United
25 States v. Benjamin Cottman, 2:18-cr-00219 JCM-DJA, on June 29, 2022.

26 3. As counsel in *this case* have previously explained, it makes the most sense
27 to permit Mr. Cottman to get sentenced in the other case prior to having a hearing on
28 STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON REVOCATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE (FOURTEENTH
REQUEST) - 1

1 the revocation in this case. It is possible that the parties can reach an agreement in this
2 case once Mr. Cottman is sentenced in the other case. If not, we can proceed with the
3 hearing in this case following his sentencing in the other case.
4

5 4. To the extent that Mr. Cottman's sentencing in the other case is scheduled
6 for June 29, 2022, it makes the most sense to continue the revocation proceedings in this
7 case to trail the sentencing currently scheduled to a date in July 2022 or later.
8

9 5. Mr. Cottman is in custody and is not prejudiced by waiting to have the
10 revocation hearing in this matter moved to a date after July 1, 2022.
11

12 6. Although there have been several prior stipulations to continue this
13 matter, some prior to the undersigned coming in as counsel, this stipulation is not made
14 for the purpose of delay, rather it is more practical to hold the sentencing hearing in the
15 other case first, and then have the revocation hearing in this case.
16

17 7. The sentence imposed in the other case could potentially factor into any
18 decision the parties may make about a stipulated revocation term, or, alternatively, it
19 could factor into any decision this court may make absent a stipulation.
20

21 Accordingly, the parties stipulate to continue the revocation hearing to a date
22 after July 1, 2022.
23

24 Dated this 2nd day of June 2022.
25

26 **The Law Offices of Kristina
27 Wildeveld & Associates,**
28

/s/ Lisa A. Rasmussen

By: Lisa A. Rasmussen, Esq.
Counsel for Benjamin Cottman

**Jason Frierson,
United States Attorney,**

/s/ Allison Reese

By: Allison Reese, AUSA
Counsel for the United States

1
2 **ORDER**
3

4 Upon the Stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing,
5
6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing on the revocation of supervised
7 release presently scheduled for June 7, 2022 is hereby vacated and rescheduled for
8 the 13th day of July, 2022 at 12:30 p.m. in courtroom 4A.
9

10 It is so ordered.
11

12 Dated: 6/3/2022



13 The Honorable Kent J. Dawson
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28